UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/803,120 | 03/17/2004 | Brian D. Cunningham | MESS-001/00US 307102-2003 | 5291 |
| 58249 COOLEY LLP | 7590 02/10/201 | 1 | EXAM | IINER |
| ATTN: Patent (| | JAKOVAC, RYAN J | | |
| Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street | t, NW | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20001 | 2445 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/10/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/803,120 | CUNNINGHAM, BRIAN D. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| RYAN J. JAKOVAC | 2445 | | |

| | RYAN J. JAKOVAC | 2445 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance was series. | Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | · | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-51,53-79 and 81-88</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. | hefore or on the date of filing a No | stice of Anneal will not | he entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Applicant has amended the claims in a manner requ | | | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Andrew Caldwell/ | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445 | | | |